## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01862 01 OF 02 041952Z

72

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-05 CEQ-01 CIAE-00 DOTE-00

EPA-01 HEW-02 HUD-01 INR-07 INT-05 L-02 NSAE-00

NSC-05 NSF-01 OIC-02 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01 OES-03

SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 USIA-06 DODE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 /078 W

----- 122083

O P 041825Z APR 75 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1041 INFO AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY USMISSION OECD PRIORITY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 1862

DEPT. PLEASE PASS EPA FOR F. ALLEN HARRIS FOR INFO ADMINISTRATOR TRAIN

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: CCMS, NL

SUBJECT: CCMS: NETHERLANDS AND CCMS-SUGGESTED CONTINGENCY TALKING

POINTS FOR USE AT CCMS PLENARY AND IN NAC

REF: A) THE HAGUE 1211; B) THE HAGUE 1698; C) USNATO 1842

SUMMARY: AS STATED IN REF C ON SUBJECT, WE BELIEVE IT APPROPRITE FOR U.S. REPS, IN RESPONSE TO DUTCH VIEWS ON FUTURE OF CCMS (REFTELS), TO STAND ON PRESIDENT FORD'S REAFFIRMATION OF U.S. SUPPORT FOR CCMS IN HIS STATEMENT TO NOVEMBER 1974 CCMS PLENARY. THERE FOLLOW BELOW MISSION'S FURTHER COMMENTS ON SUBJECT, WITH SUGGESTIONS FOR TALKING POINTS FOR USE BY AMBASSADOR BRUCE AND US REP TO CCMS TRAIN, IN EVENT THIS MATTER SHOULD SURFACE HERE IN NAC OR DURING CCMS PLENARY. END SUMMARY.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NATO 01862 01 OF 02 041952Z

1. DUTCH PARLIAMENT RECENTLY PASSED SERIES OF MOTIONS IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS FIELD (REF A) INCLUDING ONE BY LABOR PARTY CALLING ON GON TO PROMOTE PHASING OUT OF CCMS ACTIVITIES IN NATO AND TRANSFER OF RESPONSIBILITIES TO UN SPECIALIZED AGENCIES, OECD

AND COUNCIL OF EUROPE. ACCORDING REF. B, GON EVIDENTLY NOW FEELS BOUND TO RAISE MATTER AT EARLY DATE AT NATO, DESPITE FAVORABLE VIEWS ON PART OF DUTCH EXPERTS TOWARD CCMS AND EVIDENTLY MIXED FEELINGS WITHIN GON ON MERITS OF TRANSFERRING CCMS ACTIVITIES ELSEWHERE.

- 2. THRE HAVE BEEN OCCASIONAL POLITICAL JABS AT CCMS BEFORE IN THE HAGUE-USUALLY EXPLAINED TO US PRIVATELY BY DUTCH AND OTHERS AT NATO AS A BYPRODUCT OF DUTCH COALITION POLITICS. REFLECTING ANTI-NATO BIAS OF DUTCH LEFT AND MAINLY FOR INTERNAL POLITICAL CONSUMPTION. MEANWHILE DUTCH TECHNICAL AGENCIES AND EXPERTS HAVE BEEN REGULAR ANE EVEN ENTHUSIASTIC PARTICIPANTS VIRTUALLY THROUGHOUT CCMS WORK PROGRAM-AS ACCIDENT INVESTIGA-TION PROJECT LEADERS IN ROAD SAFETY, SIGNATORS OF COOPERATIVE CCMS MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING ON CLEAN ENGINE (LPPSD) AND EXPERIMENTAL SAFETY VEHICLE DEVELOPMENT, MEMBERS OF WORKING GROUP AND OTHER BODIES ON AIR POLLUTION (TO WHICH EFFORT DUTCH ARE CONTRIBUTING ROTTERDAM STUDY), AND AS ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN EXPERT MEETINGS OF URBAN TRANSPORTATION, HAZARDOUS WASTES AND, MOST RECENTLY, SOLAR ENERGY AND RATIONAL USE OF ENERGY PILOT STUDIES, AMONG OTHERS. WE WOULD EXPECT STRONG DUTCH TECHNICAL INTEREST IN CCMS PROGRAM TO CONTINUE. AS REF B INDICATES IT WILL.
- 3. DUTCH CRITICS OF CCMS HAVE SOMETIMES COMPLAINED THAT IDEN-TIFICATION WITH NATO HAS GIVEN CCMS WORK A "POLITICAL" CONNO-TATION AND THAT CREATION OF CCMS WAS "POLITICALLY MOTIVATED" IN INTEREST OF IMPROVING NATO IMAGE. IN CONSIDERING THIS, WE MIGHT RECALL THAT ORIGINAL U.S. PRESIDENTIAL PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH CCMS WITHIN NATO INDEED DID HAVE CLEAR POLITICAL, AS WELL AS ENVIRONMENTAL MOTIVATION, ON U.S. SIDE. U.S. HAS NEVER DISGUISED FACT THAT, IN ADDITION TO PRACTICAL ADVANTAGES WE SAW IN UTILIZING CAPABILITIES OF NATO MECHANISM IN ORDER TO REINFORCE INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO DEAL WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AND RELATED PROBLEMS, CCMS INITIATIVE BY US WAS SIGNIFICANT EXPRESSION OF 1969 U.S. REAFFIRMATION OF FUNDAMENTAL SUPPORT FOR THE ALLIANCE: FURTHER, WE MAINTAINED FROM OUTSET THAT IT WAS DESIRABLE AND APPRO-PRIATE THAT THE ALLIANCE ACQUIRE A "THIRD DIMENSION," DEALING LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NATO 01862 01 OF 02 041952Z

WITH PROBLEMS OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE, AS A FURTHER EMBODIMENT WITHIN NATO OF THE BROAD SET OF MUTUAL CONCERNS LINKING EUROPE AND NORTH AMERICA IN THE ALLIANCE AS SUCH.

4. SINCE THEN WE HAVE SEEN CCMS GAIN ACCEPTANCE, BY MANY OF ITS EARLY QUESTIONERS ON POLITICAL GROUNDS, AS AN EFFECTIVE INSTRUMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION WHICH HAS MADE ITS OWN UNIQUE CONTRIBUTIONS INTERNATIONALLY-NOT LEAST OF WHICH HAVE BEEN IN REINFORCING THE WORK OF OTHER BODIES. EVERY NATO COUNTRY HAS CONTRIBUTED SIGNIFICANTLY TO THE CCMS WORK PROGRAM, AND SOME HAVE LAUNCHED IMPORTANT INITIATIVES OF THEIR OWN IN CCMS. BELGIUM, CANADA, FRANCE, FRG, DENMARK, ITALY, NE-

THERLANDS, PORTUGAL, TURKEY, UK IN ADDITION TO THE US-HAVE ALL SERVED AS PILOT, COPILOT OR PROJECT LEAD COUNTRIES, SOME REPEATEDLY, AND THE REMAINING ALLIED COUNTRIES HAVE ALL BEEN ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS, CANADA AND THE FRG, BOTH STRONG SUPPORTERS OF CCMS PILOT STUDIES, EVIDENTLY HAVE SEEN CCMS IN SOMEWHAT THE SAME ATLANTIC CONTEXT AS HAS THE U.S. FRANCE, WHICH HAS TENDED TO BE OFFHAND POLITICALLY WHERE CCMS IS CONCERNED, NONETHELESS HAS BEEN ONE OF THE MOST RESPONSIVE COUNTRIES IN TERMS OF ITS CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE WORK PROGRAM (THE FRENCH HAVE EVEN RECENTLY EXPRESSED CONCERN AT THE POSSIBLE SHIFTING OF CCMS ENERGY WORK, IN WHICH FRANCE IS EXPECIALLY ACTIVE, TO THE IEA OF WHICH FRANCE IS NOT A MEMBER). THE UK HAS MOVED FROM EARLY SKEPTICISM TO A MUCH MORE FORTHCOMING POSITION; RECENT UK REPS AT CCMS PLENARIES AND EXPERT MEETINGS HAVE SHOWN A VERY POSITIVE ATTITUDE: IN ADDITION TO PREVIOUS UK LEADERSHIP RESPONSIBILITIES IN CCMS ACTIVITIES, THE UK HAS JUST LAUNCHED A NEW PROJECT UNDER THE FRG-LED PILOT STUDY ON HAZARDOUS WASTES AND HAS ALSO OFFERED TO BE HOST FOR THE NEXT MEETING OF THE INDUSTRIAL DATA BASE PROJECT IN THE RATIONAL USE OF ENERGY PILOT STUDY (WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE UK HAS DECIDED TO SUPPORT ALL THREE OF THE CCMS ENERGY STUDIES). WE HAVE ALREADY MENTIONED ABOVE THE DEGREE OF DUTCH TECHNICAL INTEREST. AND THERE ARE OTHER GOOD EXAMPLES AMONG THE ALLIES.

5. FINALLY, THE HALLMARK OF CCMS HAS BEEN ITS ROLE AS CATALYST IN PRODUCING ACTION NATIONALLY AND INTERNATIONALLY ON URGENT COMMON PROBLEMS OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE, TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE MACHINERY- AND POLITICAL IMPULSE- OF THE ALLIANCE, BUT RELYING, TOO, UPON THE AD HOC, HIGHLY PRAGMATIC CHARACTER OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 NATO 01862 01 OF 02 041952Z

THE PILOT STUDY APPROACH; MOREOVER, CCMS COMPLETES ITS WORK ON SPECIFIC PROBLEMS EXPEDITIOUSLY AND MOVES ON TO OTHER TASKS, LEAVING THE FIELD UPEN FOR FURTHER WORK AS APPROPRIATE ON THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN OTHER INTERNATIONAL BODIES (LIKE THE UN SPECIALIZED AGENCIES, OECD, AND THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE AS PROPOSED BY THE DUTCH) WHICH HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE MOMENTUM PROVIDED AND THE NEAR-TERM PRACTICAL RESULTS OBTAINED BY CCMS.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 NATO 01862 02 OF 02 042040Z

72

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-05 CEQ-01 CIAE-00 DOTE-00

EPA-01 HEW-02 HUD-01 INR-07 INT-05 L-02 NSAE-00

NSC-05 NSF-01 OIC-02 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01 OES-03

SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 USIA-06 DODE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 /078 W

----- 123116

O P 041825Z APR 75 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1042 INFO AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY USMISSION OECD PRIORITY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 1862

GYM FOLLOWING ARE POINTS AMBASSADOR BRUCE AND US REP TO CCMS TRAIN MIGHT DRAW UPON IF QUEESTION OF FUTURE OF CCMS SHOULD ARISE IN NAC OR AT FORTHCOMING CCMS PLENARY:

- -- WE WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER VERY CAREFULLY THE IMPLICATIONS FOR NATO OF A PROPOSAL TO DISMANTLE THIS IMPORTANT AND SUCCESSFUL ALLIANCE PROGRAM. SUCH ACTION COULD MEAN ABANDONMENT OF A SIGNIFICANT ASSET TO US ALL IN THE FIELD OF INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION ON THESE PROBLEMS-WITH NO OFFSETTING GAINS NECESSARILY RESULTING ELESEWHERE THAT WE CAN SEE. IT COULD BRING INTO QUESTION THE ENTIRE CIVIL SIDE OF NATO COOPERATION. THIS WOULD REPRESENT A STEP AWAY FROM THE BROAD COMMITMENT OUR GOVERNMENTS HAVE BEEN WILLING TO MAKE TO THE ATLANTIC ALLIANCE, THE SPIRIT OF WHICH THE ALLIED MINISTERS SO RECENTLY RAFFFIRMED IN OTTAWA.
- --THE OTHER ALLIED COUNTRIES ARE ALL AWARE OF THE FIRM SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES HAS CONSISTENTLY GIVEN TO CCMS. AT THE SAME TIME THERE HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANT SUPPORT AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

  LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NATO 01862 02 OF 02 042040Z

ITS WORK PROGRAM FROM EVERY MEMBER COUNTRY, SEVERAL OF WHICH HAVE TAKEN IMPORTANT INITIATIVES OF THEIR OWN IN CCMS. LEADERSHIP IN CCMS IS WIDELY SHARED, AS ARE THE BENEFITS OF COOPERATION IN THIS PROGRAM. AND WE ALL HAVE A STAKE IN ITS SUCCESS.

--IN EXAMINING THE RANGE OF CCMS WORK AND ITS ACHIEVEMENTS
THUS FAR, IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE ROLE OF CCMS IS
INTENDED BO BE ONE OF CATALYST-OF HELPING TO MAKE THINGS HAPPEN,
OF ACCELERATING THE INTERNTIONAL TIMETABLE FOR ACTION AND THEN
MOVING ON TO OTHER PRIORITY PROBLEMS. CCMS HAS NOT BEEN SET UP
AS A COMPETITOR OF OTHER INSTITUTIONS. EACH OF ITS INITIATIVES IS
DESIGNED TO PRODUCE RELATIVELY NEAR-TERM PRACTICAL RESULTS,
THEREAFTER YIELDING THE PARTICULAR FIELD OF COMPLETED ACTIVITY FOR
FURTHER WORK ELSEWHERE AS APPROPRIATE-IN SOME CASES BY OTHER

BODIES WHOSE EFFORTS THE PARTICULAR CCMS INITIATIVE MAY HAVE BEEN SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO COMPLEMENT, OR REINFORCE.

--CCMS PILOT STUDIES THUS ARE DESIGNED TO BE PHASED OUT ONE BY ONE AS THEY MATURE AND REACH THEIR OBJECTIVES. WE SHOULD NOTE, TOO, THAT THE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATE VOLUNTARILY IN EACH WORK PROGRAM - NONE IS OBLIGED TO DO SO-AND THE NATO ORGANIZATION AT LARGE IS NOT CALLED UPON TO STAFF, FUND OR DIRECT INDIVIDUAL PILOT STUDIES, WHICH REMAIN THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE INTERESTED, PARTICIPATING GOVERNMENTS, UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF THE PILOT COUNTRY.

--THE CURRENT CCMS WORK PROGRAM AS NOW CONSTITUTED CLEARLY HAS A FURTHER DISTANCE TO GO BEFORE WE CAN SAY THAT WE AS ALLIES HAVE REACHED OUR PRESENT GOALS IN NATO TO PRODUCE THEMOST USEFUL RESULTS ENVISAGED-AND ACHIEVABLE-IN EACH CASE.
BEYOND OUR PRESENT SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES IN CCMS, MEMBER COUNTRIES MAY IDNETIFY FURTHER TASKS, AS IN THE PAST, WHICH THEY BELIEVE CCMS IS PARTICULARLY WELL FITTED TO ACCOMPLISH. THUS WE SEE NO REASON TO CONCLUDE THAT THE CCMS INITIATIVE HAS RUN ITS COURSE AND THAT NATO CAN-AND SHOULD-MAKE NO FURTHER CONTRIBUTION IN THESE PROBLEM AREAS.
PEREZ

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 04 APR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004

Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: **Disposition Remarks:** 

Document Number: 1975NATO01862 Document Source: ADS

**Document Unique ID: 00** 

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjes.tel Line Count: 242

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

**Previous Channel Indicators:** 

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) THE HAGUE 1211; B) THE HAGUE 1698; C) USNATO 1842
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 30 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <20 MAY 2003 by MorefiRH>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review

05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CCMS: NETHERLANDS AND CCMS-SUGGESTED CONTINGENCY TALKING POINTS FOR USE TAGS: CCMS, NL

AT CCMS PLENARY AND IN NAC

To: STATE INFO THE HAGUE

**OECD** 

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006